



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/715,809	11/17/2003	Krishnan Suresh	03817-178346	5201

23973 7590 03/04/2005

DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH
ATTN: INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP
ONE LOGAN SQUARE
18TH AND CHERRY STREETS
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-6996

EXAMINER

EDMONDSON, LYNNE RENEE

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1725

DATE MAILED: 03/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/715,809

Applicant(s)

SURESH ET AL.

Examiner

Lynne Edmondson

Art Unit

1725

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 December 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 and 17-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 16 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 11/17/03 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 10, 11, 15 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cheng et al. (USPN 6109501).

Cheng teaches a wire bonding machine comprising a wire bonding head with a wire bonding tool mounted thereto and having a portion that is pivotable around a vertical axis, a work table and a conveyance system (col 2 lines 24-50 and col 5 lines 5-25). The device further comprises a motor and a movable camera secured to the bonding head (col 6 lines 6-57).

3. Claims 1, 3, 5-7, 10-14, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Okada et al. (USPN 6126432).

Okada teaches a wire bonding machine comprising a wire bonding head with a wire bonding tool mounted thereto (figure 2) and having a portion that is pivotable around both vertical and horizontal axes, a work table and a conveyance system (col 7 lines 41-61). When the bond head is rotated at an angle, the fixture is translated at an angle relative to the bond head. The device further comprises a camera (111) (col 7 lines 47-55).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 2, 4 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okada et al. (USPN 6126432) in view of Kinnaird (USPN 5839640).

Okada teaches a wire bonding machine comprising a wire bonding head with a wire bonding tool mounted thereto (figure 2) and having a portion that is pivotable around both vertical and horizontal axes, a work table and a conveyance system (col 7 lines 41-61). When the bond head is rotated at an angle, the fixture is translated at an angle relative to the bond head. The device further comprises a camera (111) (col 7 lines 47-55).

Kinnaird teaches a wire bonding machine comprising two wire bonding heads (150,152) with wire bonding tools (202, 212) mounted thereto (figure 5) and having a portion that is pivotable (rotate and swivel, col 1 lines 10-25, col 4 lines 26-42 and col 5 lines 5-32), a work table and a conveyance system (146) (col 4 lines 1-59). When the bond head is rotated at an angle, the fixture is translated at an angle relative to the bond head. The device further comprises a camera (178) (figure 5 and col 4 lines 18-20).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ multiple bonding heads to perform simultaneous processes and

thereby increase production in a simple and cost-effective manner. Multiple bond heads are an obvious variation of a single bondhead.

6. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okada et al. (USPN 6126432) in view of Koseki (USPN 6122307).

Okada teaches a wire bonding machine comprising a wire bonding head with a wire bonding tool mounted thereto (figure 2) and having a portion that is pivotable around both vertical and horizontal axes, a work table and a conveyance system (col 7 lines 41-61). When the bond head is rotated at an angle, the fixture is translated at an angle relative to the bond head. The device further comprises a camera (111) (col 7 lines 47-55).

Koseki teaches a wire bonding machine comprising a wire bonding head (1) with a wire bonding tool (4) mounted to it (figure 1 and col 5 lines 21-50) and having a portion that is pivotable (col 5 lines 51-64), a work table (5) and a conveyance system (3) which moves in multiple directions (col 5 line 22 – col 6 line 23) via motors (8, 9 and 13). Devices are positioned in a magazine (plurality of trays 6), which are fed to the conveyance system (col 5 lines 34-44). When the bond head is rotated at an angle, the fixture is translated at an angle relative to the bond head. The device further comprises cameras secured to the bond head (16, col 5 line 64 – col 6 line 13) and the conveyance system (17, col 7 lines 34-56).

Art Unit: 1725

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to a magazine and handling system to facilitate positioning and increase process reliability.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 and 17-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 16 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Safabakhsh et al. (USPN 5909837, magazine), Suzuki et al. (USPN 5156318), Miller (USPN 6616030 B2), Bansemir (USPN 4792079) and Gal (USPN 5901896, mass balance).

Art Unit: 1725

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynne Edmondson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1172. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lynne Edmondson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1725

LRE

LYNNE R. EDMONDSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

LE
3/2/05